

AB

**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH ON 17 DECEMBER 2019**

5.1. 19/01469/HHFUL – 184 MAYPRS WALK WEST TOWN, PETERBOROUGH PE3 6HQ

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **REFUSE** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (7 for, 2 abstain) to **REFUSE** the planning permission.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal is unacceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and for the specific reasons given below. The harm identified is not considered to be outweighed by the benefits of the proposal.

5.2 19/01363/FUL – 89 GRANGE ROAD< WEST TOWN, PETERBOROUGH PE3 9DZ

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **REFUSE** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (7 for, 2 against) to **REFUSE** the planning permission

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal is unacceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and for the specific reason given below.

In making this recommendation, due regard has been given to the Public Sector Equality Duty and the relevant protected characteristics.

**4.3 19/01171/FUL – GARDENERS COTTAGE SECOND DRIFT, WOTHORPE,
STAMFORD**

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimous) to **GRANT** the planning permission.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

It is not considered that the dwelling would unacceptably harm the character of the area, the amenity of the occupiers of neighbouring dwellings, highway safety, biodiversity, or the landscape character; and as such is considered to be in accordance with policies LP13, LP16, LP17, LP20, LP28 and LP29 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2016-2036) Adopted 2019.